## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 \* \* \* 4 Case No. 2:21-cv-01412-ART-EJY SAGE HUMPHRIES, GINA MENICHINO, ROSEMARIE DeANGELO, DANIELLE 5 GUTIERREZ, JANE DOE 100, JULIET DOHERTY, and JANE DOE 200 **ORDER** 6 Plaintiffs. 7 v. 8 MITCHELL TAYLOR BUTTON and DUSTY 9 BUTTON. 10 Defendants. 11 12 Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal (ECF No. 287). Plaintiffs understand 13 the burden that must be met to seal a document filed with the Court. Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of 14 Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006). Here a review of Exhibit A (ECF No. 288) to 15 the Declaration of Lindsey Ruff, filed in support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to 16 Quash, does not support the sealing request. If there are particular names or particular lines that 17 Plaintiffs believe should be sealed, Plaintiffs may file a renewed motion seeking to seal an 18 unredacted version of ECF No. 288. However, the entirety of ECF No. 288 is not properly sealed. 19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal (ECF No. 287) is 20 DENIED without prejudice. 21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Exhibit A (ECF No. 288) shall remain temporarily sealed 22 pending Plaintiffs' review and potential future motion to seal an unredacted version of the Exhibit. 23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiffs choose to file a motion to seal an unredacted version of Exhibit A, they must do so no later than November 3, 2023 while simultaneously filing 24 25 a redacted version of the Exhibit on the publicly available docket. 26 27

28

DATED this 24th day of October, 2023.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiffs do not file a motion to seal an unredacted

version of Exhibit A on or before November 3, 2023, the Exhibit will be unsealed in its entirety.

UNITED(STATES MAGISPRATE JUDGE